

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/059,705		01/29/2002	Zhou Yang	OPT110010000	7628	
22891	7590	07/27/2004		EXAMINER		
DELIO &			LAMB, BRENDA A			
121 WHITN NEW HAV			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·			1734		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	·					
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ital				
	Examiner AM	B 1	oup Art Unit				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet	beneath the corres	pondence address—				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FR	OM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply find period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application	minimum of thirty (30) da 5 from the mailing date o on to become ABANDON	ys will be considered timely. If this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 129 02	ر. ا						
☐ This action is FINAL.		•					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. 	or formal matters, p C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	prosecution as to th	e merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 10 - 11			and the second treation				
Of the above claim(s)							
□ Qlaim(s)		= i= = o maiaranni nom oonolooradion.					
☑ Claim(s) □ □	is/are reject	is/are rejected					
□ Claim(s)	is/are object	is/are objected to					
□ Claim(s)			to restriction or election				
Application Papers		requirement					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examin	er					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	•	•					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:							
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received 							
in this national stage application from the International E	•						
*Certified copies not received:	• •	,	·•				
Attachment(s)	1 1						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	1/29/02 [Interview Summary,	PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		atent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other						
Office Action Summary							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _____

Application/Control Number: 10/059,705

Art Unit: 1734

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claim 10, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 423.

II. Claim 11, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 414, subclass 313.18.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions Group I has a different functions than Group II in that Group I is directed toward a coating apparatus for dip coating the uncoated lens carriage thereby providing coated optical lenses whereas Group II is directed toward an article handling apparatus without any coating means claimed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Attorney Tomazewski on 6/21/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claim

Art Unit: 1734

10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 11 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is confusing since it is unclear what the empty carriage at line 17 is referring to. It is suggested that applicant at line 17 of claim 10 after "carriage is empty" "delete "so that the" insert – thereby resulting a new empty carriage and the former --; Claim 10 is confusing since it is unclear what "the above procedure" encompasses. It is suggested at line 16 of claim 10 after "the above" delete "procedure" and insert -- steps --.

Claim 10 is would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Brenda A Lamb at telephone number (571) 272-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Tuesday and Thursday thru Friday with alternate Wednesdays off.

BRENDA A. LAMB PRIMARY EXAMINER

adel Junt